| In confident that I can promote knowledge/awarenes of successful EHDI implementation strategies. In confident that I can promote knowledge/awarenes of successful EHDI implementation strategies. In confident that I can share current research and research methods related to EHDI. In confident that I can improve cultural competence in working with children/families/communities. In confident that I can enhance and develop new working relationships with various groups/agencies. In emeeting content was relevent to my work or participation in the EHDI system. In emeeting was effective in addressing current EHDI issiues. In exercise Rating For Premary Sessions. | 4.41 4.18 4.17 4.30 4.49 4.41 | 0.77 0.81 0.82 0.79 | 4.40 4.24 4.18 | 0.68 0.73 | Average 4.32 | O.77 | Average 4.33 | St Dev | | St Dev |
|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| am confident that I can share current research and research methods related to EHDI. In confident that I can improve cultural competence in working with children/families/communities. In confident that I can enhance and develop new working relationships with various groups/agencies. In emeeting content was relevent to my work or participation in the EHDI system. In emeeting was effective in addressing current EHDI issiues. In emeeting for Plemary Sessions. | 4.18 4.17 4.30 4.49 | 0.81 0.82 0.79 | 4.24 | | 4.32 | 0.77 | 4 22 | | | |
| am confident that I can improve cultural competence in working with children/families/communities. am confident that I can enhance and develop new working relationships with various groups/agencies. he meeting content was relevent to my work or participation in the EHDI system. he meeting was effective in addressing current EHDI issiues. verage Rating For Plenary Sessions. | 4.17 4.30 4.49 | 0.82 | | 0.73 | | | 4.33 | 0.77 | 4.33 | 0.78 |
| am confident that I can enhance and develop new working relationships with various groups/agencies. he meeting content was relevent to my work or participation in the EHDI system. he meeting was effective in addressing current EHDI issiues. verage Rating For Plemary Sessions! | 4.30 4.49 | 0.79 | 4 10 | | 4.13 | 0.84 | 4.04 | 0.84 | 4.05 | 0.88 |
| ne meeting content was relevent to my work or participation in the EHDI system. te meeting was effective in addressing current EHDI issues. verage Rating for Plenary Sessions | 4.49 | | 4.10 | 0.85 | 4.07 | 0.85 | 4.01 | 0.84 | 4.08 | 0.84 |
| ne meeting was effective in addressing current EHDI issiues. verage Rating For Plenary Sessions | | | 4.34 | 0.76 | 4.28 | 0.78 | 4.31 | 0.79 | 4.29 | 0.74 |
| verage Rating For Plenary Sessions | 4.41 | 0.77 | 4.52 | 0.72 | 4.49 | 0.77 | 4.48 | 0.72 | 4.45 | 0.83 |
| | | 0.84 | 4.45 | 0.74 | 4.41 | 0.83 | 4.44 | 0.74 | 4.38 | 0.84 |
| | 3.96 | 1.00 | 4.38 | 0.89 | 4.29 | 0.91 | 4.34 | 0.84 | 4.15 | 0.92 |
| ne information presented in Plenary Session I, MEDICAL HOME: FROM CONCEPT TO CARE DELIVERY, was useful.* | 4.04 | 0.97 | 4.63 | 0.68 | 4.49 | 0.77 | 4.11 | 0.95 | 4.47 | 0.83 |
| ne information presented in Plenary Session II, OUTCOMES OF CHILDREN WHO ARE HARD OF HEARING, was useful.* | 4.37 | 0.87 | 4.39 | 0.94 | 4.24 | 0.93 | 4.52 | 0.75 | 3.43 | 1.12 |
| ne information presented in Plenary Session III, POPULATION HEARING HEALTH, was useful.* | 3.72 | 1.01 | 4.26 | 1.06 | 4.16 | 0.98 | 4.50 | 0.78 | 4.61 | 0.78 |
| ne information presented in Plenary Session IV, THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, was useful.* | 3.69 | 1.13 | 4.23 | 0.88 | 4.27 | 0.96 | 4.25 | 0.89 | 4.09 | 0.96 |
| ne State Stakeholder's Meeting time was useful for networking with others in my state/territory. | 4.32 | 0.95 | 4.23 | 0.97 | 4.09 | 1.06 | 3.89 | 1.11 | NA | NA |
| ne lenth of the EHDI Annual Meeting was adequate for learning. | 4.26 | 0.91 | 4.34 | 0.83 | 4.24 | 0.93 | 4.20 | 0.85 | 4.27 | 0.85 |
| he length of time available for networking with others was adequate. | 4.10 | 0.90 | 4.21 | 0.89 | 4.02 | 0.94 | 4.00 | 0.92 | 4.13 | 0.88 |
| ne process for obtaining Continuing Education Units (CEUs) was easy to understand. | 4.28 | 1.02 | 4.44 | 0.88 | 4.10 | 1.06 | 4.11 | 0.99 | 4.07 | 1.02 |
| The pre-registration process was well organized. | | 0.75 | 4.67 | 0.67 | 4.65 | 0.72 | 4.64 | 0.74 | 4.64 | 0.71 |
| ne on-site registration process was well organized. | 4.65 | 0.73 | 4.77 | 0.59 | 4.69 | 0.66 | 4.65 | 0.75 | 4.67 | 0.68 |
| leeting staff effectively answered questions and assisted participants. | 4.59 | 0.72 | 4.68 | 0.70 | 4.63 | 0.71 | 4.59 | 0.72 | 4.63 | 0.69 |
| he hotel meeting facilities were appropriate for the EHDI Annual Meeting. | 4.44 | 0.95 | 4.68 | 0.70 | 4.24 | 0.99 | 4.36 | 0.97 | 4.41 | 0.94 |
| ne meeting provided adequate audio-visual equipment and technical support. | 4.49 | 0.82 | 4.72 | 0.59 | 4.50 | 0.77 | 4.64 | 0.67 | 4.67 | 0.67 |
| Info for Plenary Sessions (according to respective year) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Rating for Topical Session Evaluations | 2014 | | | Data | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| (1=poor; 5=excellent) | Aver | | | rage | Avei | | | rage | | rage |
| verall Quality | 4.5 | | | 48 | 4.4 | | 4. | | 4.4 | |
| rganization / Clarity of Presentation | 4.5 | - | | 50 | 4.4 | | 4. | - | 4.4 | |
| sefulness of Information | 4.5 | | | 47 | 4.4 | | 4. | | 4.3 | |
| elevance of Topic | 4.6 | | | 60 | 4. | | 4. | | 4.5 | |
| dequate Opportunity to Participate | 4.42 | | 4.31 | | 4.24 | | 4.35 | | 4.25 | |
| sefulness of Handouts / Support Materials / Slides | 4.4 | | 4. | 40 | 4.3 | 36 | 4.: | 37 | 4.3 | 35 |
| bjectives Met (new category to 2014) | 4.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Poster Evaluations | 2014 Data | | | a (n=194) | 2012 Data | | | | 2010 Data | |
| (1=poor; 5=excellent) verall quality of Poster presentation | Average 4.58 | St Dev | Average 4.55 | St Dev | Average 4.62 | | Average | _ | Average 4.51 | St De |
| verall quality of Poster presentation reanization / clarity of the Poster | | 0.58 | | 0.61 | | 0.57 | 4.57 | 0.61 | | 0.67 |
| 5,, | 4.57 | 0.63 | 4.57 | 0.62 | 4.59 | 0.62 | 4.56 | 0.64 | 4.49 | 0.74 |
| resentation (how well the presenter conveyed info during the session) sefulness of information | 4.56 | 0.61 | 4.52 | 0.74 | 4.57 | 0.66 | 4.54 | 0.72 | 3.90 | 1.68 |
| | 4.59 | 0.57 | 4.55 | 0.61 | 4.58 | 0.62 | 4.54 | 0.65 | 4.45 | 0.78 |
| elevance of topic | 4.67 | 0.56 | 4.65 | 0.54 | 4.70 | 0.51 | 4.69 | 0.54 | 4.58 | 0.66 |
| dequate opportunity to interact/engage with presenter(s) sefulness of handouts / support materials | 4.39 4.67 | 0.96 | 4.48 4.69 | 0.88 | 4.25 4.78 | 1.06 | 4.51 4.69 | 0.82 | 3.51 2.44 | 2.00 |

| 4.58 | 0.58 | 4.55 | 0.61 | 4.62 | 0.57 | 4.57 | 0.61 | 4.51 |
|--------|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|------|
| 4.57 | 0.63 | 4.57 | 0.62 | 4.59 | 0.62 | 4.56 | 0.64 | 4.49 |
| 4.56 | 0.61 | 4.52 | 0.74 | 4.57 | 0.66 | 4.54 | 0.72 | 3.90 |
| 4.59 | 0.57 | 4.55 | 0.61 | 4.58 | 0.62 | 4.54 | 0.65 | 4.45 |
| 4.67 | 0.56 | 4.65 | 0.54 | 4.70 | 0.51 | 4.69 | 0.54 | 4.58 |
| 4.39 | 0.96 | 4.48 | 0.88 | 4.25 | 1.06 | 4.51 | 0.82 | 3.51 |
| 4.67 | 0.61 | 4.69 | 0.58 | 4.78 | 0.54 | 4.69 | 0.58 | 2.44 |
| | | | | | | 1 | | |
| 2014 | Data (n=1 | 106) 201 | | Data (n=1 | 82) | | | |
| | I | | | | c. p | | | |
| Median | Average | St Dev | Median | Average | St Dev | | | |
| 4.00 | 3.90 | 1.05 | 4.00 | 3.98 | 1.05 | | | |
| 5.00 | 4.41 | 0.72 | 4.00 | 4.10 | 1.09 | | | |
| 5.00 | 4.39 | 0.84 | 5.00 | 4.27 | 1.04 | | | |
| 5.00 | 4.32 | 0.89 | 4.00 | 4.02 | 1.14 | | | |
| 4.50 | 4.10 | 1.17 | 4.00 | 4.09 | 1.16 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.57 4.56 4.59 4.67 4.39 4.67 Median 4.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 | 4.57 0.63 4.56 0.61 4.59 0.57 4.67 0.56 4.39 0.96 6.67 0.61 2014 Data (n=1) Median Average 4.00 3.90 5.00 4.41 5.00 4.32 | 4.57 0.63 4.57 4.56 0.61 4.52 4.59 0.57 4.55 4.67 0.56 4.65 4.39 0.96 4.48 4.67 0.61 4.69 2014 Data (a=106) Median Average St Dev 4.00 3.90 1.05 5.00 4.39 0.84 5.00 4.39 0.84 5.00 4.32 0.89 | 4.57 0.63 4.57 0.62 4.56 0.61 4.52 0.74 4.59 0.57 4.55 0.61 4.67 0.56 4.65 0.54 4.69 0.58 4.69 0.58 | 4.57 0.63 4.57 0.62 4.59 4.56 0.61 4.52 0.74 4.57 4.59 0.57 4.55 0.61 4.58 4.67 0.56 4.65 0.54 4.70 4.39 0.96 4.48 0.88 4.25 4.67 0.61 4.69 0.58 4.78 | 4.57 0.63 4.57 0.62 4.59 0.62 | 4.57 0.63 4.57 0.62 4.59 0.62 4.56 | 4.57 |

| Exhibitor Evaluations | 201 | 4 Data | * (n=21) | 2013 Da | ta (n=11) | 2012 Dat | a (n=34) | 2011 Dat | a (n=16) | 2010 Da | ta (n=22 |
|---|------|--------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|----------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------|
| *=scoring changed for 2014 to match scoring of previous years. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Most Important Reason Your Firm Came to EHDI: | % | % of Responses 37.20% | | | sponses | # of Res | ponses | # of Res | ponses | # of Re | sponses |
| Exposure | | | | | 75% | 61.3 | | 57.69% 11.53% | | 51.21% 19.51% | |
| Leads/Sales | | 20.93% | | | 50% | 20.45% | | | | | |
| New Product/ Service | | 11.6 | | | 10% | 6.8 | | 7.69 | | | 76% |
| Maintain current clients Get marketolace information | | 18.60% 4.65% | | | 50% 15% | 6.8 | | 7.69 | | | 32% |
| Competitors were there | | 4.65 | | | 10% | 2.2 | | 3.8 | | 4.87% 2.43% 4.87% | |
| Other | | 2.32 | | | 10% | 2.2 | | 3.84 | | | |
| ate the Following: (1 = Poor, 4 = Excellent) | Aven | | St. Dev | Average | St Dev | Average | | Average | | | St De |
| Leads gathered at the EHDI Conference (Quantity): | 2. | .85 | 0.81 | 2.80 | 0.92 | 2.91 | 0.88 | 3.25 | 0.86 | 1.59 | 0.59 |
| Leads gathered at the EHDI Conference (Quality): | 3. | .15 | 0.67 | 3.11 | 0.78 | 3.19 | 0.69 | 3.44 | 0.63 | 1.64 | 0.58 |
| ate the Following: (1 = Unclear, 5 = Very Clear) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clarity of instructions for shipping to and from conference | 3. | .50 | 1.15 | 3.91 | 0.94 | 4.11 | 0.96 | 3.14 | 1.46 | 3.47 | 1.07 |
| Clarity of pre-conference communications and info about exhibiting | 4. | .05 | 1.02 | 3.90 | 0.99 | 4.25 | 0.98 | 4.47 | 0.83 | 4.29 | 0.85 |
| ate the Following: (1 = Too Short, 3 = Just Right, 5 = Too Long) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amount of time exhibits were open on Sunday | 3. | .10 | 0.30 | | | | | | | | |
| Amount of time exhibits were open on Monday | 3. | .38 | 0.74 | 4.18 | 0.75 | 4.12 | 0.95 | 3.75 | 1.00 | 4.05 | 0.89 |
| Amount of time exhibits were open on Tuesday | 3. | .00 | 0.86 | 3.20 | 0.79 | 3.39 | 0.79 | 3.20 | 1.15 | 3.20 | 0.70 |
| ate the Following: (1 = Not Enough, 3 = Just Right, 5 = Too Much) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Space allowed for your exhibit | 2. | .95 | 0.38 | 2.91 | 0.30 | 2.79 | 0.60 | 3.00 | 0.37 | 2.90 | 0.30 |
| Traffic flow by your exhibit | 2. | .57 | 0.81 | 2.64 | 0.67 | 2.85 | 0.51 | 2.71 | 0.73 | 2.71 | 0.46 |
| ate the Following: (1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hotel / Shipping company's handling of shipments | 4. | .00 | 1.20 | 3.86 | 1.35 | 3.82 | 0.91 | 3.27 | 1.19 | 3.53 | 1.1 |
| ate the Following: (1 = Worse, 2 = The same, 3 = Better): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate this year's conference compared to last year's | 2. | .40 | 0.63 | 2.45 | 1.29 | 2.18 | 0.59 | 2.50 | 0.52 | 1.62 | 0.53 |
| ate the Following:(1 = Not Satisfied, 4 = Extremely satisfied): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| How satisfied were you overall? | 3. | .11 | 0.58 | 3.09 | 0.83 | 3.09 | 0.72 | 3.28 | 0.73 | 1.73 | 0.4 |
| Vould you recommend that your company exhibit next year? | | Yes= | | Yes = 8 | | Yes = 29 | | Yes: | | | = 20 |
| | | | | No = 1 No = 1 | | _ | No = 0 | | | =1 | |
| old you witness to any objectionable practices or display violations? | | Unsur | | | re = 2 | Unsu | | Unsur | | | re = 1 |
| nu you witness to any objectionable practices or display violations? | | Yes No: | | | = 11 | No: | | Yes = 2 No = 13 | | | = 2 = 18 |
| | | Unsu | | | re = 0 | Unsui | | Unsur | | Unsure = 3 | |
| Vere Sunday Hours Beneficial? | | Yes= | | | | | | | | | |

| | INO | -2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|---------|------------|---------|----------------|------------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|---------------|
| 2014 Pre-Sessions | | Ossiller | | Occumication | | | Usefulness | | Objectives Met | Dolosconos | Melevance | | Larucibanon | | | werage Rating |
| | Sample | Average | St Dev | Average | St Dev | Average | St Dev | Average | St Dev | Average | St Dev | Average | St Dev | Average | St Dev | Overall / |
| Exploring Infant & Mental Health, EHDI & You | 18 | 4.67 | 0.49 | 4.72 | 0.46 | 4.61 | 0.61 | 4.72 | 0.46 | 4.83 | 0.38 | 4.83 | 0.50 | 4.63 | 0.62 | 4.72 |
| Parent Perspectives: Ensuring Success Through Early Hearing | 30 | 4.79 | 0.41 | 4.66 | 0.61 | 4.79 | 0.56 | 4.89 | 0.31 | 4.97 | 0.19 | 4.72 | 0.59 | 3.89 | 1.05 | 4.67 |
| EHDI 101 | 54 | 4.57 | 0.57 | 4.59 | 0.57 | 4.59 | 0.53 | 4.56 | 0.57 | 4.72 | 0.49 | 4.38 | 0.82 | 4.41 | 0.76 | 4.55 |
| The Hands & Voices Advocacy and Support Training | 36 | 4.77 | 0.43 | 4.69 | 0.47 | 4.69 | 0.52 | 4.67 | 0.59 | 4.75 | 0.55 | 4.43 | 0.78 | 4.56 | 0.64 | 4.65 |
| Consensus Process- Building Unity and Alignment | 14 | 4.64 | 0.50 | 4.64 | 0.50 | 4.64 | 0.50 | 4.57 | 0.51 | 4.71 | 0.47 | 4.86 | 0.36 | 4.45 | 0.69 | 4.64 |
| Genetic Bases of Hearing Loss Future Treatment Implications | 37 | 3.78 | 0.83 | 3.56 | 0.88 | 3.97 | 0.88 | 3.77 | 0.88 | 4.47 | 0.77 | 4.40 | 0.77 | 2.72 | 1.10 | 3.81 |
| Baby Beats- An Early Intervention Approach | 42 | 4.88 | 0.33 | 4.88 | 0.33 | 4.73 | 0.50 | 4.78 | 0.42 | 4.78 | 0.47 | 4.88 | 0.33 | 4.64 | 0.59 | 4.80 |
| Be a Part of EHCO- Developing Periodic Early Childhood | 18 | 4.72 | 0.46 | 4.67 | 0.49 | 4.61 | 0.70 | 4.56 | 0.70 | 4.50 | 0.71 | 4.33 | 1.03 | 4.78 | 0.43 | 4.60 |
| What to Expect for a Child's Auditory Development | 25 | 4.25 | 0.78 | 4.32 | 0.85 | 4.24 | 0.83 | 4.32 | 0.95 | 4.48 | 0.87 | 4.36 | 0.99 | 4.33 | 0.65 | 4.33 |
| Comprehensive Assessment and Planning Profiles | 46 | 4.60 | 0.62 | 4.67 | 0.63 | 4.50 | 0.72 | 4.62 | 0.68 | 4.63 | 0.61 | 4.07 | 1.17 | 4.69 | 0.68 | 4.54 |

| Conference Attendees | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total Meeting Registrants | 861 | 926 | 924 | 863 | 716 |
| Advocacy Group | 49 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 37 |
| Audiologist | 148 | 166 | 181 | 193 | 160 |
| Early Intervention Provider | 150 | 157 | 179 | 137 | 126 |
| Family of a Child with Hearing Loss | 134 | 125 | 138 | 100 | 59 |
| Federal Agency | 7 | 10 | 15 | 29 | 20 |
| Hospital/Birthing Center | 50 | 40 | 50 | 52 | 57 |
| Local Health Dept. | 18 | 18 | 10 | 17 | 8 |
| Medical Provider | 65 | 80 | 65 | 64 | 11 |
| Non-Profit Agency | 145 | 149 | 157 | 113 | 100 |
| Part C Agency/Provider | 59 | 59 | 72 | 154 | 145 |
| State Education System | 69 | 86 | 78 | 50 | 60 |
| State Health Dept. | 145 | 164 | 143 | 64 | 39 |
| Student | 70 | 93 | 111 | 154 | 145 |
| University | 0 | 138 | 167 | 117 | 55 |
| Other | 0 | 43 | 105 | 126 | 94 |
| * Exhibitors | 43 | 43 | 52 | 41 | 40 |
| Total Participant Groups Specified | 1152 | 1426 | 1579 | 1467 | 1156 |