

# **Grant Writing Workshop**

### Presented by DSHPSHWA

## What is DSHPSHWA?



Directors of Speech and Hearing Programs in State Health and Welfare Agencies

DSHPSHWA's mission is to support the leaders of speech and hearing programs in the United States. We represent professionals who serve children with speech and hearing disorders and their families through advocacy, professional development, and collaboration.

### **About DSHPSHWA**



- Provides a voice to many Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) program members that are unable to lobby due to official positions
- Provides representation on many committees
  - American Speech Language Hearing Association (ASHA)
    - O Audiology Quality Consortium
    - O Healthcare Economics Committee
  - Joint Commission on Infant Hearing (JCIH)
  - Deaf and Hard of Hearing Alliance



# **Learning Objectives**

- Identify components of an EHDI Grant application
- Identify strategies to create a high scoring application
- Develop skills in preparing grant application components

### **Overview: EHDI Grant Funding Sources**

**CDC** (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)

 Primary focus is on data collection and analysis and the EHDI Information System

**HRSA** (Human Resources Services Administration) through MCHG ( Maternal Child Health Bureau)

 Primary focus is on engagement and support of families and education of families and physicians

#### Other (??)

Private organizations (Medical Centers, Hearing Aid companies, etc)

#### Universities

## **Terms to Understand**

- RFP = Request for Proposal
- RFA = Request for Applications
- FOA = Funding Opportunity Announcement
- NOFO = Notice of Funding Opportunity

Also may be referred to as "the guidance" or "instructions"

#### **Grants vs. Cooperative Agreements**

- Grant Award of financial assistance from a Federal agency to a recipient to carry out a public purpose of support authorized by a law of the United States
  - Cooperative Agreement Differs from a grant

## **RFA/FOA Contents**



- WHO: is eligible to apply, is the target population
- WHAT: activities are being funded
- WHY: purpose of funding
- WHERE: services will occur, to get help
- WHEN: applications are due, activities should occur
- HOW: much funding is available, to access & complete an application

### **Application Narrative Components**

HRSA	CDC	
Introduction	Background	
Needs Assessment	Purpose and Outcomes	
Methodology	Strategies and Activities,	
Work Plan	Collaboration	
Resolution of Challenges		
Evaluation and Technical Support Capacity	Evaluation and Performance Measurement Plan	
Organizational Information	Organizational Capacity	

## **Other Components**



- SF-424 (Federal budget forms)
- Budget Narrative
- Project Abstract
- Common Attachments:
  - Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)/Understanding with partners
  - Organizational charts
  - Resume/CV of key personnel
  - Logic model
  - Work plan
  - Letters of support

## Application Components – Other Examples: Subgrants

#### NJ - RFA

Assessment of Needs

**Objectives of the Project** 

Methods

Evaluation

**Budget and Justification** 

Attachments

#### MN - RFA

**Application Information** 

**Organizational Capacity** 

Linkages and Collaborations

Work Plans – Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

**Budget Justification** 

Your state may have a standard format used for RFAs/RFPs

## **Reading the RFP/FOA**

- Begin to develop a workplan/timeline for writing the grant
  - Be aware of your internal timelines. How long does fiscal, commissioner approval take? Plan for that.
- What aspects will require partnerships, especially new partnerships?
  - Request letters of support that specify the collaborative work
- Study format specifications
- Determine if parts of the writing will be assigned to others
- Which aspects are most urgent?
  - Letter of Intent (LOI)
- Identify those pieces that are already in existence and readily available
  - MOUs, contracts
  - Job descriptions
  - IDC rate agreement
  - What's unclear, eg, sustainability?

## **Review Scoring**

HRSA		CDC		
Criteria	Points	Criteria	Points	
Need	10	Background and Problem Statement	5	
Response	34	Strategies and Work Plan	30	
Evaluative Measures	20	Evaluation and Performance Measurement	25	
Impact	20			
Resources/ Capabilities	10	Organizational Capacity	40	
Support Requested	6			

## **Requirements vs. Scoring**

Sections	Scoring
Introduction	Need – 10 points
Needs Assessment	
Methodology	Response – 34 points
Work Plan	Evaluative Measures – 20 points
Resolution of Challenges	Impact – 20 points
Evaluation and Technical	impact – 20 points
Support Capacity	Resources/Capabilities – 10 points
Organizational Information	Support Requested – 6 points
Budget Narrative	

## Introduction/Background



- Purpose of the proposed project (repeat from RFA/FOA)
- Goals of program (1-2 sentences)
- Brief description of activities (1 sentences)
- Describe history of current program

- Target population and unmet health needs
- Demographic data to support the information provided
- Quantitative data as requested in guidance or related to the problem statement
- If data not available, explain why
- Barriers in the service area that the project hopes to overcome
- Help reviewers understand the community and/or organization

- Population vs. Target Population
- Examples of Demographics
  - Race/ethnicity, and foreign born
  - Birth rate, trends
  - Birth location
  - Maternal: age, education, race, ethnicity, etc
  - Distribution within the state, density
  - Mobility, ie, migrant, military
  - Languages
  - Literacy levels

#### Health - Examples

- Medicaid number, percentages (children, newborns)
- Uninsured number, percentages, ranking (children, newborns)
- Children with Special Health Care Needs number, percentages
- Medically Underserved and Health Professional Shortage Areas
- Hospitals/birthing facilities numbers, changes
- Health Care Providers specialties, distribution
- Audiologists pediatric, distribution
- Early Intervention professionals D/HH, distribution
- Availability of services
- Access to services/barriers

## Needs Assessment

#### **Purpose and Outcomes**

- Geography Examples
  - Physical size
  - Number of counties
  - Classification (urban, rural, etc.)
  - Unique characteristics, ie, borders
- Economy Examples
  - State budget and impact
  - Unemployment
  - Bankruptcies
  - Poverty (population and children)
  - Household income

#### EHDI Program - Example

- Context of program (history, national stats, etc.)
- Strengths/weaknesses of current program
- 1-3-6: benchmarks, numbers, percentages, trends
  - Hospital-specific data
  - Types of screening
  - Screening rates
  - Refer rates

## **Hospital Specific**

Table 2: Lost to Follow-up/Documentation at Hospital Screening (2009) indicates a 2.48% loss to follow-up/documentation (LTFU/LTD) at screening.

Hospital	# Births	#Screened	%Screened	# LFU/D	% LFU/D at Screening
Hospital A	53	50	94%	3	5.66%
Hospital B	120	119	99%	1	0.83%
Hospital C	80	74	92%	6	7.50%
Hospital D	154	154	100%	0	0.00%
Hospital E	824	823	99%	1	0.12%
Hospital F	100	98	98%	2	2.00%
Hospital G	1672	1627	97%	45	2.69%

## Subgroups

#### 2008 DOB Data - Lost to System by Maternal Education Level

_	Maternal Education Level	Inpatient Refers	Percent of Inpatient Refers	Lost to System Status	Percent: Lost/Total Lost to System (1)	Percent: Lost/Refers - row count (2)
	< HS	242	22.7%	38	34.9%	15.7%
	HS or GED	258	24.2%	31	28.4%	12.0%
	Some college or AA/AS	328	30.8%	27	24.8%	8.2%
	College grad or above	228	21.4%	12	11.0%	5.3%
	Unknown	10	0.9%	1	0.9%	0.0%
-	TOTAL	1066	100%	109	100%	10.2%
		<ul> <li>(1) numerator = # lost for education level, denominator = total of "lost to system" count of 109 (38/109, 31/109, etc.)</li> <li>(2) numerator = # lost for education level, denominator = # of refers for maternal education level (row count)</li> <li>(i.e. <hs: "lost="" (2)="" -="" 10.2%="" 242,="" 258,="" 31="" 38="" [compare="" average="" etc.)="" ged:="" hs="" li="" or="" percent="" percentage]<="" state="" system"="" to=""> </hs:></li></ul>				

## Trends

### % of Newborns Screened Prior to Discharge Compared to Birth Rate (by year)



## Demographics

### Timeliness of Initiation of Follow-up by Maternal Age



## Logic Model





# Logic Model - Activity Identifying Outcomes

Which of the components in the following sets are "outcomes"? How would you identify the other components, using the United Way model?

#### Vacationing

Packing your bags

Deciding to travel to San Francisco

Feeling relaxed and ready to go back to work

Knowing how much money you can spend

Enjoying good food and sightseeing

Arriving in San Francisco

Getting "traveler's checks" from the bank

## Logic Model

Packing your bags
Deciding to travel to San Francisco
Feeling relaxed and ready to go back to work
Knowing how much money you can spend
Enjoying good food and sightseeing
Arriving in San Francisco
Getting travelers' checks from the bank

## Logic Model - Example

Inputs	→ Activities –	→ Outputs		→Outcomes
Infant Hearing Act Advisory Committee, sub-	Λ	Services: Numbers of – -Newborns born -Newborns who received a hearing	Short term (0-6 Months)	Long Term (> 36 months)
committees Funds (UNHS, CDC, Title V, HCCF)		screening test during birth admission -Newborns who passed a hearing screening test during birth admission	\ 	<i>Development</i> Linguistic
NCHAM, AAP, NICHQ NeAAP Chapter Champion		Newborns who did not pass a hearing screening test -Newborns recommended for	Hearing Screening for all newborns (UNHS)	Cognitive Social-Emotional
Boys Town National Research Hospital Birthing Facilities (63)	Reporting,	monitoring, intervention, and follow- up care -Newborns/infants receiving a follow-up hearing test		
Confirmatory Testing Facilities (65 audiologists)	and O	<ul> <li>Newborns/infants w/o hearing loss</li> <li>Newborns/infants with a hearing loss (type/degree of hearing loss)</li> </ul>	Type, Degree of Hearing Loss Determined	Intermediate (6-36 months)
Medical Homes/PHCP Early Development Network (Part C)	Follow-up L A	-Newborns/infants evaluated for and fitted with amplification -Newborns/infants referred to and	Re-screening Diagnostic Evaluation	Early Intervention Part C (EDN)
Data Tracking Systems (ERSII, CONNECT)	BEducation andO	enrolled in EDN(EI) -Newborns/infants with medical home -Families in family-to-family support	Referrals	CSHCN (MHCP) Amplification
Professional Associations (NeAAP, NeFPA, NePAA, NSLHA, NeHSA, NeAEYC)	Technical R Assistance A T	programs Quality measures - PDSAs,small tests of change results - Refer rates	Medical Home-Primary Health Care Provider	Medical Home-Primary Health Care Provider
Health Programs (Newborn Screening/Genetics, CSHCN/MHCP, Community Health Cntrs)	Evaluation O and Quality N	-Time to initial re-screen -Rate of discharge without screen -Lost to follow-up -Age at diagnosis/early intervention -Parent satisfaction measures -National EHDI surveys	Education Referrals Diagnosis Treatment	Referrals – ENT, genetic, ophthalmologic Risk Factors IFSP
Family Support programs (PTI-NE, Hands & Voices, Answers4Families, Family Voices)	Improvement	-National EFIDI surveys -Annual, legislative reports <b>Products; Number of</b> -Workshops -Newsletters and articles		Family Support Hands and Voices
EHS/HSSCO NE Children's Hearing Aid Loaner Bank	$\setminus$	-Technical assistance visits (phone, on-site) -Press releases, PSAs, exhibits -Advisory Committee and	Early Intervention (EDN) begun Eligibility determined	PTI-Nebraska Answers4Families Family Voices Pagingal Programs
Financing of hearing aids, cochlear implants	$\bigvee$	subcommittee meetings and products -MOUs, MOAs -Collaborative initiatives and projects	Enrollment	Regional Programs Omaha Hearing School BTNRH

## Logic Model

**Activity:** Develop a Logic Model for an EHDI Family Support Group

- **1.** Start by specifying the desired outcome(s) for families
- **2.** Identify the indicators (outcome measures)
- **3.** List the activities that your program will organize to achieve the desired outcomes
- **4**. List the outputs of those activities (process measures)
- 5. List the resources available to conduct those activities

What resources does your program need? (Resource Gap)



#### Work Plan, Goals, Objectives, Activities

- Used to meet program requirements and expectations
- Rational, direct, chronological description of the proposed project
- Process proposed in order to achieve the outcome and accomplishments
- Include quality improvement strategies, including measures
  - Goals + Objectives + Activities -> Work Plan

## **Presentation Topics**

Work Plan Goals
Objectives

How to write in SMART format

Activities
Tips



## Goals



#### Broad, general statements

- Results intended by the program
- What the program intends to accomplish
- Identify the population to be reached
- Identify problem/opportunity addressed
- Bridge between the mission statement and specific objectives
- Provide the "what" information, not the "how" information

## Goals



Structure of a Goal Statement
 To [action verb] [object] [modifiers]

 Examples:

To [enable] [students] [to improve their writing skills]

To [reduce] [the number of English Language Learners] [scoring Level 2 on FCAT]

To [improve] [energy conservation] [in the city]

# **Goals - Examples**

- Assure the quality and accuracy of reportable data.
- Development and evaluation of materials that address the cultural and linguistic needs of parents.
- Improve public health informatics by leveraging current and future IT innovations.
- Engage in community partnership building activities including collaboration with pediatric health care providers and audiologists as well as the Early Head Start Program to strengthen and enhance the role of the medical home.
- Increase the enrollment of infants and toddlers diagnosed with permanent hearing loss into early intervention services.

# Objectives



- States the results to be achieved
- Criteria by which the results will be measured, ie, degree of change
- Time frame for achieving the objective
- Identifies the target group toward which the objective is directed
- Future focus: state in active voice, ie, "will be reduced..," "will increase.."
- Avoid "to" language, ie, "to provide information..." is an activity

## **SMART Objectives**


## **SMART Objectives**

Specific	Is the objective precise and well-defined?
	Is it clear?
	Can everyone understand it?
Measurable	How will the individual know when the task has been completed?
	What evidence is needed to confirm it?
	Have you stated how you will judge whether it has been completed or not?
Achievable	Is it within their capabilities?
	Are there sufficient resources available to enable this to happen?
	Can it be done at all?
Realistic	Is it possible for the individual to perform the objective?
	How sensible is the objective in the current business context?
	Does it fit into the overall pattern of this individual's work?
Timely	Is there a deadline?
	Is it feasible to meet this deadline?
	Is it appropriate to do this work now?
	Are there review dates?



## **Objectives - Examples**

- **Objective 3:2** By May 2014, the EHDI-IS will be capable of accurately reporting required early intervention data to the CDC.
- **Objective 1.1**: By June 2016, decrease the number of children LTFU/D for screening to 1%.
- **Objective 1:6:** From November 2011 through August 2012, at least 8 stakeholder meetings (up to two face-to-face) will be held to determine other strategies for decreasing loss to follow-up/loss to documentation and develop educational materials.



## **Goals and Objectives**

	Goals	Objectiv	/es
2.	All infants who fail the inpatient screen will have a follow-up screen by one month of age.	a. b. c.	Increase from 85% to 90% the number of infants who receive a follow-up screen or audiology evaluation as documented by either the hospital coordinator or audiologist in the EHDI IDS. Increase from 0 to 80% the number of PCPs who are notified of the rescreen results. Enhance the EHDI IDS system for rescreening.

## **Goals and Objectives**

#### **SECTION 3: METHODOLOGY**

GOAL 1: NHSP will increase the percentage of children meeting early hearing screening, evaluation and intervention (EHDI) 1-3-6 timelines by strengthening collaboration with screening facilities, medical home, audiologists, and EI.

**OBJECTIVE 1. 1:** By March 2014, decrease the proportion of children who are LFU/D for screening to 1%. (Baseline: In 2009, 2.9% births were LFU/D for screening.)

Method:

- Improve follow-up coordination. A Parent Support/Follow-up Coordinator will be hired to coordinate the services needed for infants who miss newborn screening or who are referred from newborn screening.
- Parents are aware of the hearing screening performed at the hospital, and families of infants who have failed screening are informed of the importance and process of follow-up at the time of screening. Currently there is no standard procedure to inform parents of screening results, with most hospitals verbally sharing results. In 2009, the NHSP Learning Collaborative team piloted a simple record of infants' screening results that is given to the parents at the hospital. If the infant does not pass screening, the parent is also given the "Family Guide" Roadmap, which provides information on the steps regarding rescreening, diagnosis, and intervention. The team also developed the script for screeners to share information with parents of infants. The Roadmap are being piloted at all birthing hospitals and will be implemented statewide in April 2011.

## Activity



#### Write one GOAL for an EHDI Family Support component

Write one SMART objective for the Family Support goal



#### **Example Work Plan Template**

Goal			Success Me	asures		
Objectives	Activities,	Data,	Timeframe	Staff	% FTE	Other
	Steps	Evaluation	to Assess	Person		Funding
			Progress	Responsible		Sources

## **Work Plan Activities**





#### **Work Plan**

			Yı	r.	1		Y	r.	2			ł	ľr		HOW TO
WHAT WE WILL DO	WHO'S			Quarters		Quarter				Quarte			LUADUALE		
	RESPONSIBLE	1	2	3	4			2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
GOAL 1: Increase the percentage of children															
meeting EHDI 1-3-6 timelines by strengthening															
collaboration with screening facilities, medical home,															
audiologists, and EI.															
OBJECTIVE 1.1: By March, 2014, decrease the	Project Supervisor	X	X	X	X	2	K I	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	(X	Monthly
proportion of children who are LFU/D for screening	Research Statistician														HI*TRACK data
to 1% (in 2009, 2.9% were LFU/D for screening).															
Activity 1.1.1 By June 2011, all parents whose infants	NHSP staff			Х	X	2	K I	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	(X	Parent survey
receive hearing screening will receive written	Screeners														
documentation of screening results.															
Activity 1.1.1.1 Hearing screening results card will	Project Coordinator			Х	X	2	K I	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	(X	•
be printed and distributed to birthing hospitals.	Parent Support/Follow-														
	up Coordinator														
Activity 1.1.1.2 Birthing hospitals will have policy	NHSP Supervisor with					2	K I	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	X	Written policy
in place to provide parents with written document of	Hospital Administrator														
their infant's newborn hearing screening results.	& NHS Coordinator														
Activity 1.1.2 By September 2011, utilize a Roadmap	Parent Support/Follow-			Х	X	2	K I	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	2	X	HI*TRACK note
to guide parents through the process of screening,	up Coordinator														
evaluation, and intervention.															
Activity 1.1.2.1 Family Guide (Roadmap) will be	NHSP staff			Х	X	2	X I	X	X	Х	X	X	2	( X	Record of
finalized, printed, and distributed to birthing															Roadmap
hospitals.															distribution

#### **Work Plan**

System Goal 1 - The hearing of all newborns born in Nebraska will be screened during the birth admission or, if born out-of-hospital, by one month of age.	who are screened for hearing	<ol> <li>Increase the proportion of newborns ng loss by age one month, have <u>audiologi</u> nths, and are enrolled in appropriate e six months.</li> </ol>
Program Objective 1.1 – Birthing facilities will submit hearing	Measurement – Number ar	nd percent of "refers," number and
screening status reports for 100 percent of newborns, including		to screening, reasons for discharge,
transfers to NICUs.	timeliness of reporting, erro	or rate.
Activities	Quarters	Person(s) Responsible
Individual hearing screening status reports submitted electronically during birth certificate registry process.	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12	CHEII, <u>Hosp</u> Staff
Transfers to different hospitals reported electronically with follow- up, reporting, and input completed electronically.	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6	CHEII, <u>Hosp</u> Staff
Training and orientation of hospital staff; technical assistance provided,	Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6	Prgm Mgr; BAnalyst, CHEII; Hosp Staff
	Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12	

#### WORK PLAN

AIM: 1. Concurrence between state agencies on the definition of data points. 2. Reduce the number of infants not screened in-patient or not re-screened out-patient by 15% (5% per year) as compared to 2008 data, 3. Reduce the number of infants for which follow-up is discontinued or no information was available by 15% (5% per year) as compared to 2008 data, 4. Reduce the number of infants "in-process" at 12 -18 months of age by 15% (5% per year) as compared to 2008 data 5. Sustain a mean age of 3 months for age of diagnosis of a hearing loss for a minimum of 6 months 6. Increase the documentation of infants enrolled in Part C or other early intervention services to 70%

To be met on a statewide level by March 2014.

Goal: Implementation of a standardize execution of NICHQ strategies for	· · · ·	~		~ .
Objective	Members Involved	Start Date	End Date	Comments
Enlist birthing hospitals to complete the NHSTC training (through gaining support of the perinatal network administrators and education on the need for standardized competency based training)	10 hospitals per year and associated stakeholders in communities, DSCC, IDPH, perinatal network administrators, and NHSTC contractors	Yearly effort beginning April 2011	March 2014	This project was piloted in 2010 with the assistance of Randi Winston and Karen Munoz. Preliminary data suggests a statistically significant change as a result of training.

#### **Work Plan**

#### Table 4: Goals, Objectives, Activities, Timelines and Evaluation

Goal 1: By March 31, 2012, r	educe the rate of ini	fants lost to fo	llow-up between hospital			
discharge and outpatient scre	ening to no more th					
Objective 1.1: Rates of infants in	Measurement: Percent of babies who					
follow-up after referring on inpa			patient screening that had			
will rise annually during the fur	nding cycle.		cumented. Goal: 90% by			
			line: 66.8% for 2007 births.			
			pies who referred on			
			ening that have timely			
			umented. Goal: 85% by			
		-	ine: 62.4% for 2007 births.			
Activities	Timeframe	Person(s)	Evaluation/Measurement:			
		Responsible				
Throughout the funding cycle,	May, August and	RS	Document distribution date			
distribute hospital-specific	November 2009;		and number of recipients			
quarterly reports which will	February, May,					
include refer rates, follow-up	August and					
rates, and unduplicated	November 2010;					
individual data on all children	February, May,					
not passing initial screening.	August and					
	November 2011;					
	February 2012					
		No. 1 14				

## Tips



- Ensure activities that need to run consecutively are framed that way on your Work Plan
- The goals and objectives are often stated in the FOA and can be used directly in the Work Plan
- Make sure all of your objectives are written in SMART format
- Make sure your measures are measurable
- Review your Work Plan periodically during the grant period to ensure you stay on track
- Proofread everything...again

#### **Evaluation**

- Measures Relevant, understandable, useful
  - Quantitative- numeric data i.e. percentage screened
  - Qualitative descriptive (words) i.e. family satisfaction interview questions
  - Process are we doing what we said we'd do, are we sticking to our timeline?
  - Outcome are we achieving our goals/objectives, are we making the differences we planned to make?
- Data Sources, ie, EHDI IS, health records, stakeholder interviews
- Methods/Tools, ie, raw data review, focus group
- Activities/Steps tasks to gather evidence about measures

One activity for multiple measures, ie, data review

- Several activities for one measure, ie, survey and IS data to evaluate effectiveness of new protocol, stakeholder evaluation surveys
- Timeline Milestones, if spans multiple years
- Person Responsible

#### **Evaluation Plan**

- Consistency and alignment with objectives and activities
- Process measures
- Performance measures (outcomes)
- Quality assurance measures
- Sources of data
- Methods and tools for data collection
- Activities to implement the evaluation plan
  - Timeline, including milestones if multiple years
  - Staff responsible

#### Process



- Assessment of EHDI surveillance process
   Measures of program implementation

   Implementation as planned
   Effective use of inputs/resources

   Coverage/acceptability of surveillance system and activities
  - Measures to determine if activities serve/meet needs of target population



## Performance (Outcome)

- Effectiveness of EHDI surveillance system and activities
- Performance metrics
- Key indicators of success and accomplishment

# DSHPSHWA

#### **Quality Assurance**

#### CDC QA - Measures of data:

- Accuracy
- Validity
- Completeness
- HRSA QA Evaluative Measures
  - What extent were program objectives met?
  - What extent can these be attributed to the project?
  - What extent does the applicant describe the quality improvement (QI) methodologies that will be incorporated?

#### **Evaluation Plan - Example**

Goal 2: All infants who fail the inpatient screen will have a follow-up screen by one month of age.

Objective 2.a: By June 30, 2014, increase from 80% to 90% the number of infants who receive a follow-up screen or audiologic evaluation as documented by either the hospital coordinator or audiologist in the EHDI IDS.

Evaluation Plan for the Objective: The EHDI IDS will have documentation of the individual follow-up results for the rescreen or audiology evaluation of each infant as entered by the hospital coordinator or audiologist.

ACTIVITY	EVALUATION	TIMELINE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	% OF FTE	OTHER FUNDING
1.All pediatric audiologists will be trained to enter data into the EHDI IDS.	Trainings documented and results are entered by the hospital coordinator or audiologist.	Year 1		20%	CDC EHDI
2. Monitor EHDI IDS to determine which populations of infants are not receiving follow- up.	Analysis of data to measure factors associated with children lost to follow-up.	Annually		10% 2%	CDC EHDI MCHB EHDI
2. Improve data entry by hospital coordinators to encourage real- time entry.	EHDI IDS query will analyze the dates of screens and rescreens with the date of entry.	Quarterly		20%	CDC EHDI

#### **Evaluation Plan - Activity**

- Goal 1: Update EHDI-IS with detailed electronic processes to report and disseminate information on progress towards programmatic, jurisdictional, and national goals.
- **Objective 3:** To provide local and statewide system status reports on a quarterly basis (report cards) utilizing EHDI data for statewide systems improvements beginning January, 2012.
- Activity 7: Hospital screening rates will be compared within the state and against national standards.

**TASK:** Develop an evaluation plan using Evaluation Plan worksheet

#### **Resolution of Challenges - HRSA**

- Discuss anticipated challenges in designing and implementing the activities
- Identify approaches that will be used to resolve such challenges



#### **Resolution of Challenges - Example**

Birth & Outpatient Screening Challenges and Resolutions

Challenge 1: The majority of home births are not tracked in the OZ eSP database. Resolution 1: Identify the midwifery community and formalize a partnership through an MOA.

#### **Resolution of Challenges – Example**

#### SECTION 5: RESOLUTION OF CHALLENGES

Challenges in designing and	Approach to Address Challenges
implementing Work Plan activities	
State's slow economic recovery, with furlough (2 days/month) continuing at least to June 2011 – without a decrease in workload for NHSP staff	<ul> <li>Staff prioritization of work using the EHDI 1-3-6 goals as a guide</li> </ul>
Increased time to obtain approvals for purchasing equipment and establishing positions	<ul> <li>Increase NHSP staff knowledge of the procurement and personnel process</li> <li>Prepare paperwork early, to be ready soon after project funding is awarded</li> </ul>
New staff – Project Specialist, Project Parent Support/Follow-Up Coordinator	<ul> <li>Prepare recruitment and orientation plan while waiting for approval to hire</li> <li>NHSP Supervisor and Project Coordinator will provide training and mentoring for new staff. Close supervision will be necessary until the staff is able to work independently.</li> </ul>

## **Sustainability**

#### "Sustainability" is not addressed in the introduction or

Criterion 4 - IMPACT - (20 points). The extent and effectiveness of plans for dissemination of project results and/or the extent to which project results may be national in scope and/or degree to which the project activities are replicable, and/or the sustainability of the program beyond the Federal Funding.

And...

Should I include something about sustainability and, if so, where?

33 PERFORMANCE MEASURE

Goal 4: Improve the Health Infrastructure and Systems of Care (Assist States and communities to plan and develop comprehensive, integrated health service systems) Level: Grantee Category: Infrastructure

The degree to which MCHB-funded initiatives work to promote sustainability of their programs or initiatives beyond the life of MCHB funding.

#### Organizational Information Program Capacity

- Current mission and structure
- Scope of current activities
- Organizational chart
- How do these contribute to the ability of the organization to conduct the program requirements and meet program expectations?
- State and local resources
- Program infrastructure
- Current and prior experience in tracking and monitoring EHDI surveillance activities
- Job description and experience/background for key personnel
- "When applicable, biographical sketches should include training, language fluency and experience working with the cultural and linguistically diverse populations that are served by their programs."

#### Collaborations



- Ongoing working relationships should specify current collaborative activities.
- Past, current, and proposed collaboration with reporting sources that provide data, resources, or other support to address EHDI related services
- Strongest documents list specific commitments and activities
  - Contribute to the work plan
  - Can be measured or demonstrated as evidence of success.
- MOUs/MOAs
- Collaborations should be linked to Letters of Support/Partnership



## **Budget Narrative**

- Explains the amounts requested for each line in the budget
- Describe how each item will support the achievement of proposed objectives
- Explain the costs entered in the SF-424A
- Justify each item in the "other" category
- The budget justification MUST be concise
- Do NOT use the justification to expand the project narrative



## **OMB** Circulars

- Instructions or information by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to Federal agencies are contained in OMB Circulars
- Available at <u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars</u>
- Information about allowable and unallowable costs
  - OMB Circular A-122 for non-profits
  - OMB Circular A-87 for governments (state, local, Indian Tribal)
- Budget Preparation Guidelines: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/grants/documents/Budget-Preparation-Guidance.pdf</u>

#### PART 225—COST PRINCIPLES FOR STATE, LOCAL, AND INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS (OMB CIRCULAR A–87) – Allowable Costs

Describe and provide a justification for each:

- Salaries and Wages (including fringe benefits)
- Consultant and Contractual Costs
- Equipment (related to specific program objectives)
- Supplies (pens, pamphlets, videos, software, etc.)
- Staff Travel (in-state and out-of-state)
- Other (telephone, internet, postage, printing, equipment rental)
- Indirect Costs (overhead)

#### SF-424A – Section A & B

SF-424A: Budget Categories Form III



	BUDGET	INFORMATION	N - Non-Constr	uction Program	ms	
		SECTION A-E	BUDGET SUMMAR	Y		
Grant Program	Catalog of	Estimated Unob	ligated Funds		New or Revised Budg	jet
Function or Activity (a)	Fed Domestic Assist No. (b)	Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (f)	Total (g)
1. Community Health Centers- 330(e)	93.224			\$2,758,334	\$7,599,486	\$10,357,820
2. Migrant Health Centers - 330(g)	93.224			\$1,253,113	\$3,452,704	\$4,705,817
3,						
4.						
5. TOTALS				\$4,011,447	\$11,052,190	\$15,063,637
a Transita Banda ana		SECTION B - BU	DGET CATEGORI	es		
6. Object Class Category			nt Program Function or J	Lotivity		Total
Conject Class Category	(1) Federal	(2) Non-Federal 🔫				(5)
a. Personnel	\$2,400,000	\$7,001,600				\$9,401,600
b. Fringe Benefits	\$552,586	\$1,612,079				\$2,164,665
c. Travel	100,000	34,200				\$134,200
d. Equipment	300,000	375,557				\$675,557
e. Supplies	50,000	420,000				\$470,000
f. Contractual	500,000	441,200				\$941,200
g. Construction	0	0				\$0
h. Other	108,861	1,167,554				\$1,276,415
j. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)	\$4,011,447	\$11,052,190				\$15,063,637
j. Indirect Charges	\$0	\$0				\$0
k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j)	\$4,011,447	\$11,052,190				\$15,063,637

#### SF-424A – Section C through F

#### **Budget Information Form SF-424A**

(a) Grant Program		(b) Applicant	(c) State	(d) Other Sources	(c) Totais
8.					s
9.					s
10.					90
11			0		50
12. Total (sumofines 8 - 11)		\$0	\$0	\$0	90
Section D - Forecasted Cash Needs			S		
	Total for 1st Year	1stQuarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th quarter
13. Federal	\$0		2		
14. Non-Federal	\$0				
15. Total (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$0	90	\$0	\$0	90
Section E - Budget Estimates of Federal Funds Neede	d for Balance of the Project		2		
			Future Fur	nding Periods (Years)	
(a) GrantProgram	1	(b) First	(c) Second	(d) Third	(e) Fourth
16					
17.					
10					
16.				2	
	20				
19.		50	\$0	50	\$
19 20. <b>Total</b> (sumofines 1619)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
19 20 Total (sum of lines 16-19) Section F - O ther Budget Information	_	\$0 22. Indirect Charges	\$0	\$0	ş
18. 19. 20. Total (sumofines 16:19) Section F - Other Budget Information 21. Direct Charges			\$0	50	
19 20. Total (sum of lines 16-19) Section F - Other Budget Information			\$0	50	:

## **Salaries and Wages** (including fringe benefits)

Α	B	C	D	E	F	G	Н	ĸ
Personnel								
EHDI Project Coordinator			Annual	Time			\$37,908.96	
Salary (yearly)			\$40,000.00	0.65		\$26,000.00		
Benefits			\$18,321.47	0.65		\$11,908.96		
				Sacos-Astranti (Seo				
Time - 12 months @ 112.66 hours/month								
The EHDI Project Coordinator is responsible for p EHDI activities, objectives, and goals, and all other interpreting data, interfacing with IT staff, software implementation of integration plans. The Coordinal contractors who function as ISB staff, and monitor committee. The remaining 35% FTE is covered u Project Coordinator will work on Aim Statements 1	activities related to this p support staff, Part C staff, or provides oversight of the all contracts. The Coordin der a separate funding se	roject. These include b Public Health staff, and he Audiology Consulting inator provides reports	ut are not limited to d others as needed g Team, Systems / to the Part C Progr	business and to assess, d Analyst, Parer am Manager	alysis, gath lesign and nt Outreach and the EH	ering and plan for Coordinator, three IDI Advisory		

St. Luke's Elks Hearing and Balance							
Organizational Information:							
The Idaho Sound Beginnings Program, D	partment of Health and V	Welfare contracts with St. Luke	e's Elks Hearing and I	Balance for c	onsulting and	training	
Audiologist D, AuD, CCC-A			St. Luke's				
Audiologist B, AuD, CCC-A Audiologist C, AuD, CCC-A		1	E. Idaho SW Idaho				
Audiologist A, AuD, CCC-A			N. Idaho				
Names of Consultants:			Coverage area:				
	Amount Requested					22,667.00	
	Total Estimated Travel	Travel Expenses				3,557.00	_
	(Total consulting time is calculated at - 35% of 780 hours (37.5% of a Full time position) based on an annual salary of \$124,800)						
				0.13125	FTE	19,110.00	
	Total Contracted Time = 273 hours/year			273	per year		
	Contracted Rate =			\$70	per hour		
Pediatric Audiology Consulting Contr		s Hearing and Balance			per	hour	hour

#### 39 Nature of Services to be rendered:

The consultants provide support and training to all regions of the state, including 31 hospitals, 7 larger midwife practices, pediatric audiologists in the state, Idaho Early Head Start Programs, and 7 Early Intervention regions. (This contract also includes activities covered by a separate funding source.) Several audiology representatives serve on the Advisory Committee. The contracted audiology team has been trained on the use and purpose of the HiTrack system. They are active in testing and piloting web based HiTrack. They also work with Idaho audiologists and other medical staff to promote the use of electronic data reporting and provide support and training to ensure quality of results reported and procedures used. They have primary responsibility for providing training on HiTrack and reporting processes to birth centers during site visits. Consulting services to be provided under the contract include, but are not limited to: providing regular (at least quarterly) contact and training by phone and email with screening programs; working with the statewide screening programs on development and implementation of quality improvements; assist with the refinement of the data tracking system; provide input on development of Business Process Flow charts and evaluation of processes to identify areas of needed improvement; develop and provide training to screening sites on data management, quality assurance, assist in the development and refinement of procedures and forms used for the data tracking system, including developing an online audiology data reporting system; assist with data evaluation on a regular basis; and investigation of EHR system and integration possibilities at a large hospital that their clinic is already

#### 40

#### 42 Relevance of service to the project:

The contracted audiologists are a vital component to the operation of the EHDI program. They are in direct contact with individual facilities. They provide support and assist with evaluation and quality assurance. They are the connected with facilities, assisting on-site with data system installation and training. They work with the coordinator to plan and approach facility administration regarding data enhancement projects. They collaborate on the development and refinement of the business process plan and, under supervision, are directly responsible for projects relating to birth facilities and audiologists reporting data including; providing professional input on process plans, work plans, scheduling, and evaluation development; providing outreach and assistance with reporting form revisions; and assisting birth facilities with data systems and data analysis. 40% of the funding will be used to provied training and technical support to screening programs. The remainder of the funding will support QI projects. The consultants will be working with ISB on Aim Statements 1-8.

44								
45	Number of days of consultation:							
6	The audiology consultants are partially funded through another source. For purposes of this grant they are contracted for 35% of 780 hours (37.5% of a full time employee): 273 hours per year. ISB has a 1 year contract with the consultants, renewable for an additional 2 years.							
7								
8	Expected rate of compensation:							
9	\$60 per hour							
0								
51 Method of Accountability:								
2	The Contractor reports to the NHS programs shall be provided at least quarterly and will include suggestions for continued quality improvement of the program. Reports to ISB will be provided in writing at least quarterly and will include information on the outreach efforts, areas of identified program improvement and pdates on program successes.							
-								

#### 54

#### Travel:

Travel expenses to the EHDI Program and for in-state travel for site trainings are reimbursable through this contract. Idaho follows the national per diem and lodging rates. ISB has trips planned for the Audiology Consulting Team to 16 hospitals per year. Car rentals, fuel, lodging, and per diem expenses will be needed. Training is needed periodically because of newborn hearing screening staff turnover. Troubleshooting of screening equipment, training on proper use of equipment, and education on proper data recording and reporting procedures will take place for the screening programs in birthing facilities across the state.

56	Southwest Idaho:							
57	Description	Amount	Cost	% charged to grant	Cost to Grant	Cost/trip		
58	1 trip x 1 consultants Southwest Idaho							
59	Car Rental	\$75/ Day x 4 Days	\$300.00	0.50	\$150.00			
60	Fuel	4 Tanks @ \$60	\$240.00	0.50	\$120.00			
61	Lodging including taxes	\$104/ Night x 3 Night	\$312.00	0.50	\$156.00			
62	Per Diem	\$54/ Day x 4 Days	\$216.00	0.50	\$108.00	\$534.00		
63	Eastern Idaho:							
64	Description	Amount	Cost	% charged to grant	Cost to Grant	Cost/trip		
65	1 trip x 1 consultant Eastern Idaho - Pocatello/Preston/Soda Springs/Blackfoot							
66	Car Rental	\$75/ Day x 4 Days	\$300.00	0.50	\$150.00			
67	Fuel	3 Tanks @ \$60	\$180.00	0.50	\$90.00			
68	Lodging including taxes	\$89/ Night x 2 Night	\$178.00	0.50	\$89.00			
69	Per Diem	\$51/ Day x 4 Days	\$204.00	0.50	\$102.00	\$431.00		

94	Outreach and Parent Support Consul	Ital Parent Outreach Coordinator				\$37,262.60
95		Contracted Rate =	\$44.50	per hour		
96		Total Contracted Time = 728 hours/year		per year		2
97			1.00	FTE	\$32,396.00	
98		(Total consulting time is calculated at - 34% of a Full time position based on an annual salary of \$92,560)				
99		Total Estimated Travel Expenses			\$4,866.60	
100		Amount Requested			\$37,262.60	
101		Department of Health and Welfare, contracts with Andrea Amestoy for parent	outreach and	support sen	rices. Andrea is a	
103						
04	Organizational Information:					
105	Andrea Amestoy, R.N.					
106						
107	infant referral in order to answer any ques consultant is both an Registered Nurse a support group and as a medical profession	tant provides direct support to families after a hearing loss diagnosis and is a stions, explain the diagnostic process, and connect the parent with audiologic nd a parent of children with hearing loss. They fill the parent role of ISB liaiso onal, they also work with the EHDI team to develop appropriate scripts for pro- development and implementation of strategies for medical providers and wo	and/or finan n to the Idah ofessionals a	cial support if o Hands & Vo ind other educ	needed. This bices parent cational materials.	
### **Consultant and Contractual Costs**

#### 110 Relevance of service to the project:

The contracted Parent Outreach Coordinator is a vital component to the operation of the EHDI program. She is in direct contact with individual families with children at risk for hearing loss. She provides support and assists with evaluation and quality assurance. She works with the coordinator to plan and approach families and birthing facilities to implement quality improvement projects. She collaborates on the development and refinement of the business process plan and, under supervision, is directly responsible for projects relating to family outreach including; contacting and educating families on infant hearing loss, educating the public at family conventions, educating professionals on hearing loss at professional conventions across the state, and educating midwives on the importance and timing of EHDI goals. 70% of this funding will be used to support QI projects. She will be working with ISB on Aim Statements 1-8.

# 112 Image: I

The Contractor's reports to the NHS program shall be provided monthly and will include suggestions for continued quality improvement projects. Reports to ISB will be provided in writing and will include information on the outreach and educational efforts.

#### **Consultant and Contractual Costs**

#### 121 In-State Travel:

Travel expenses to the Nationa EHDI Conference and in-state travel for site trainings are reimbursable through this contract. Idaho follows the national per diem and lodging rates. ISB attends the following in-state conferences annually for family and professional outreach: Idaho Academy of Physician Assistants (IAPA), Idaho Association for the Education of Young Children (IAEYC), Idaho Babypalooza, Idaho Council for Exceptional Children (ICEC), Idaho Head Start Association (IHS), Idaho Hospital Association (IHA), Idaho Kids Discovery Expo, Idaho Medical Association (IMA), Idaho Nurses Association (INA), Idaho Nurse Practitioners Association (INPA), Idaho Perinatal Conference, Idaho Speech, Language, and Hearing Association (ISHA), and the Treasure Valley Community

123	Description	Amount	Cost	% charged to grant	Cost to Grant	Cost/trip
124 All c	onferences listed above					
125	1 trip x 1 Coordinator	Various locations				
126	Fuel and Mileage	1360 Miles x \$.56	\$761.60	1.0	\$761.60	
127	Lodging	10 Night x \$104	\$1,040.00	1.0	\$1,040.00	
128	Per Diem	25 Days x \$51	\$1,275.00	1.0	\$1,275.00	\$3,076.60
129 Out	of State Travel:					
130 EHD	0 Conference					
131	1 trip x 1 Coordinator					
132	Registration	1 Coordinator x \$500	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
133	Airfare	1 Coordinator x \$500	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
134	Per Diem	\$69/Day x 4 Days	\$276.00	1.00	\$276.00	
135	Lodging including taxes	\$138/ Night x 3 Nights	\$414.00	1.00	\$414.00	
136	Shuttle	\$50 x 2 trips	\$100.00	1.00	\$100.00	\$1,790.00
137					Total =	\$4,866.60



### **Equipment** (related to specific program objectives)

		Idaho Sound Beginnings loans OAEs for newborn hearing screening to				
		seven midwife programs. In addition, two AABRs and two OAEs are on				
		loan to hospital screening programs and one additional OAE is available				
	Screening Equipment Calibrations	for short term loan in event of equipment malfunctions. Aim Statements:				
175	and Maintenance	1-3	\$1,500.00	1.00	\$1,500.00	
No.					THEFT	

174

## Supplies (pens, pamphlets, videos, software, etc.)

170		
		Referral Forms, Envelopes, Certified Mail Postage, Business Cards,
171	<u>Supplies</u>	Brochures, Door Hangers, Pens, and Sharpies. All Aim Statements. \$1,500.00 1.00 \$1,500.00

### **Supplies**

#### (pens, pamphlets, videos, software, etc.)

204	Data Management System	EHDI Software - HiTrack	\$20,000 0.50		\$10,000.00
205		Amount Requested		\$10,000.00	
206	Selection:				
207	The HiTrack data management system was specifically design 2000 and was originally chosen for its design, ease of use, cu knowledge a formal bid process was unnecessary. There wer support for the cost. HiTrack data is also able to be stored set Welfare. Most other providers store data on their own servers.	stomer support system, and cost. This is a very spec e only two or three systems available at the time and H curely on Health and Welfare servers, which is a require	ialized database and to t ITrack provided the bes	he best of my t functionality and	
209	Period:				
210	Although there was an initial contract for the first few years of t with the National Center for Hearing Assessment and Manage				
212	Relevance:				
213	Access to the HiTrack system for all Idaho screening sites is i regular business hours. The ability to collect and manipulate d objectives, and goals. The ability and willingness of the HiTrac of the objectives of this cooperative agreement. HiTrack sup system and aiding the Health and Welfare IT department in as reporting form and system improvements in ITP KIDS (Part C	ata to create reports for tracking and quality assurance is support staff to interact with EHDI program staff and port staff are instrumental in supporting the developm sessing and planning for data integration needs, such	e is at the core of all EHE I IT specialists is crucial ent of improvements in th as implementing a secu	I program activities, to the achievement ne HiTrack data re audiology	
215	Cost:				
	The cost of the data system has been supported through anot fee. The remaining forty percent of the cost continues to be su		uested funding is 60% of	the annual licensing	

## **Staff Travel** (in-state and out-of-state)

Employee Travel		10000000	% charged	Cost to	Contraction (Charles) (Ch
0 Description	Amount	Cost	to grant	Grant	Cost/trip
- Out of State					
2 1 trip x 1 employees (100%):	EF	IDI Conference			
	nal EHDI Conference is a requirement of the HRSA-M another source), the EHDI Program Coordinator, and				
staff (the other staff will be funded through	another source), the EHDI Program Coordinator, and	the Part C Program	Manager, both	of whom are	
CDC data improvement project. Expenses	are estimated based on currently available informatio	n and prior year's at	tendance costs	a.	
staff (the other staff will be funded through	another source), the EHDI Program Coordinator, and	the Part C Program	Manager, both	of whom are	
CDC data improvement project. Expenses	are estimated based on currently available informatio	n and prior year's at	tendance costs	3.	
Airfare	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
staff (the other staff will be funded through	another source), the EHDI Program Coordinator, and	the Part C Program	Manager, both	of whom are	
CDC data improvement project. Expenses	are estimated based on currently available informatio	n and prior year's at	tendance costs	5.	
Airfare	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
Registration	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
staff (the other staff will be funded through	another source), the EHDI Program Coordinator, and	the Part C Program	Manager, both	of whom are	
CDC data improvement project. Expenses	are estimated based on currently available informatio	n and prior year's at	tendance costs	3.	
A Airfare	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
Registration	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
Per Diem	\$69/Day x 5 Days x 1 EHDI Staff	\$345.00	1.00	\$345.00	
staff (the other staff will be funded through	another source), the EHDI Program Coordinator, and	the Part C Program	Manager, both	of whom are	
CDC data improvement project. Expenses	are estimated based on currently available informatio	n and prior year's at	tendance costs	5.	
Airfare	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	
Registration	\$500 x 1 EHDI Staff	\$500.00	1.00	\$500.00	

## **Other** (telephone, internet, postage, printing, equipment rental)

172 173 <u>Program Support</u>	Hospital staff, midwives and other sta sessions in their regions are reimbur associated with their attendance. Thi rental, i.e. video equipment, scholars children with hearing loss (\$15 each) Idaho Hands and Voices. Distribution Family Support manual and materials electronic use.	sed for reasonable travel costs s category also includes equipment ships to parents of newly identified for the first year's enrollment in n costs for Help and Hope revised	\$1,767.62	1.00	<u>\$1,767.62</u>
174 <u>Translation of written and online</u> 175 <u>educational materials</u>	Due to the large Hispanic population, translated into Spanish. The basic so been translated, but there is much m made available to this population. Tra will also be undertaken each year. Ai	reening brochures have already ore information that needs to be anslation of sections of the website	\$1,000.00	1.00	<u>\$1,000.00</u>

168	Family-based support organizations or programsfocused on family/parents/caregivers	\$62,500.00
169	Funding for family-based support groups is a requirement of this grant. These funds will be used to develop a state-based learning community for pediatric health care professionals and families with infants that are deaf or hard of hearing, partner with federally funded early intervention programs, develop and maintain active family engagement and leadership, and conduct state-level outreach. *Aim statements 4-8.	

## **Other** (telephone, internet, postage, printing, equipment rental)

150 OTHER

152 Descripti	on	Amount	Cost	% charged to grant	Cost to Grant	Cost/trip	
153 Presenter On Site Stipen	ds	4 Presenters x \$2000	\$8,000.00	1.0	\$8,000.00		
154 Presenter Airfare and Mil	eage	4 Presenters x \$1000	\$4,000.00	1.0	\$4,000.00		
155 Presenter Hotel		4 Presenters x 3 Nights x \$89	\$1,068.00	1.0	\$1,068.00		
156 Presenter Meals		4 Presenters x 4 Days x \$51	\$816.00	1.0	\$816.00		
157 Presenter Preparation		120 hours x \$62.50	\$7,500.00	1.0	\$7,500.00		
158 Weekly Online Chats		3 Mentors x 5 Weeks x \$62.50	\$937.50	1.0	\$937.50		
159 Post Workshop Mentorin	g	1 mentor x 3 Days x \$500/Day	\$1,500.00	1.0	\$1,500.00		
160 Registration Managemen	t	25 Participants x \$20	\$500.00	1.0	\$500.00		
161 CEU's Tier 1 Webmaste		1 x \$1500	\$1,500.00	1.0	\$1,500.00		
162 Site Rental		1 Site x \$1200	\$1,200.00	1.0	\$1,200.00		
163 Equipment/ Internet Acce	ess/ Porterage	1 Site x \$500	\$500.00	1.0	\$500.00		
164 Workshop Meals		25 Participants + 4 Presenters + 7 Vendors x \$51	\$1,836.00	1.0	\$1,836.00		
165 Workshop Notebooks		25 Notebooks x \$20	\$500.00	1.0	\$500.00		

#### **Indirect Costs**



GRANT: EARLY HEARING DETECTION AND INTERVENTION

DHW GRANT 40100C

DATE: 2/7/17

CONTACT: Brian Shakespeare

ESTIMATED INDIRECT COSTS						
A05002	OFFICE SPACE	\$3,283				
A05002	OFFICE SPACE - STATEWIDE	\$0				
A07003	MOTOR POOL	\$180				
A08664	TELEPHONE	\$73				
A09004	ATTORNEY GENERAL	\$0				
A10005	DIRECTOR'S OFFICE	\$218				
A12515	OPERATIONAL SERVICES	\$30				
A13008	HUMAN RESOURCES	\$160				
A13008	HUMAN RESOURCES - STATEWIDE	\$0				
A14009	ITSD EMPLOYEE SAL.	\$0				
A15010	ITSD OVERHEAD	\$5,500				
A15010	ITSD OVERHEAD - STATEWIDE	\$0				
A16012	ACCOUNTING	\$286				
A16012	ACCOUNTING - STATEWIDE	\$13				
A16513	BUDGET/FEDERAL CASH	\$360				
A16513	BUDGET/FEDERAL CASH - STATEWIDE	\$15				
A19018	DIV OF HEALTH					
A08057	COMPUTER MAINT DIV OF HEALTH					
A08057	COMPUTER MAINT DIV OF FACS	\$3				
A08057	COMPUTER MAINT DIV OF BEH HEALTH					
A19319	DIV OF FACS	\$728				
A19423	DIV OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH					
A08558	PRINTER CHARGES	\$6				
A20022	DIV OF WELFARE					
A20355	DIV OF MEDICAID					
A24733	FIELD OPERATIONS					
	ESTIMATED TOTAL INDIRECTS	\$10,855				

#### PART 225—COST PRINCIPLES FOR STATE, LOCAL, AND INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS (OMB CIRCULAR A–87) – Unallowable Costs

- Alcoholic beverages
- Entertainment costs
- First class air tickets
- Country club or social club membership costs
- Goods or services for personal use
- Advertising and public relations costs

- Costs of events related to fund raising
- Political lobbying and contributions
- Organization furnished automobiles for personal use
- Legal fees for criminal and civil proceedings
- Housing and living expenses
- Insurance



### **Budget Narrative**

**Budget Justification** 

Personnel	Explanation	Subtotal	Line Item Total	Goals
EHDI Coordinator	(0.5 FTE HRSA, 0.5 FTE CDC) \$45,000/year x 0.5	\$22,500		1,2,3,4, 5,6,7
Follow-up Coordinator	(0.75 FTE) \$12.00/hour x 1560 hours	\$18,720		1,4,5,7
			\$41,220	

#### Attachment 1 – IDAHO WORK PLAN

Aim Statement 1

Increase timely diagnosis of hearing loss by 30% (thirty percent) from baseline over 3 (three) years by scheduling diagnostic appointments for each infant that refers on their NHS prior to discharge in all birthing facilities in Idaho.

Changes / Activities (sequence as needed)	Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Lead Staff and Partner Support (where applicable)	Process Measures	Outcome Measures
Audiology Consulting Team (ACT) training and outreach.	4/2017	3/2020	Data Manager ACT Team Idaho Birthing Facilities Idaho Audiology Clinics	Percentage of diagnostic appointments scheduled of infants that refer on their Newborn Hearing Screening (NHS)	Percentage of diagnostic results received by Idaho EHDI of appointments scheduled

Aim Statement 2

Increase timely diagnosis of hearing loss by 30% (thirty percent) from baseline over 3 (three) years by obtaining 100% of screening results forms for each infant that refers on their NHS by 1 (one) month of age.

Changes / Activities (sequence as needed)	Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Lead Staff and Partner Support (where applicable)	Process Measures	Outcome Measures
Request Screening Results Forms from each birthing facility for each infant that refers on their NHS	4/2017	3/2020	Data Manager Idaho birthing facilities	Number of screening results forms requested by Idaho EHDI	Number of screening results forms received by Idaho EHDI

Idaho EHDI-IS LOGIC MODEL- 2017



#### Attachment 3 – IDAHO BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES OF KEY PERSONNEL

The EHDI Coordinator has worked for Idaho Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) for five years and brings a variety of professional experience and education. He has prior work experience in organization and maintenance of personal litigation files, management, and directing daily operational activities. This skill set enables him to lead numerous Idaho EHDI Plan, Do, Study, Act (PDSA) cycles simultaneously. He is instrumental as a member of the Quality Improvement (QI) team in data procurement, management, and analysis. He has planned and overseen many projects including coding methods for parent refusal, follow-up appointments, physician's letters, and electronic transmission of birth reports. In addition, his skills are utilized for partnering with other programs for inter-agency data sharing. He readily demonstrates his prowess and thoroughness in improving data systems and knowledge of PDSA implementation and data interpretation.

The Parent Outreach Coordinator has been an integral part of the Idaho EHDI team since 2007. With degrees in nursing, education, and health science, she brings both the medical perspective and family support elements necessary for the various training components inherent in an EHDI program. This complimentary duality allows her to provide guidance, assistance, support, hope, and education to parents of children with hearing loss. Her background as a pediatric and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) nurse, a hospital community instructor, and educator for the perinatal population aids her in bringing a wealth of knowledge for significant components of the work plan. Her work background will be utilized on the QI team in formulating coding methods, engaging with parents, implementing National Center for Hearing Assessment and Management (NCHAM) screening training, case management, and midwife partnering and education. As a nurse, teacher, and parent of two children with hearing loss, she brings a diverse wealth of personal and professional experience to the team.



- Memorandums of Agreement/Understanding
- Subgrants
- Equipment loans
- Contracts



#### • Tables, Charts, etc.

Idaho Sound Beginnings - Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Acronyms

AABR	Automated Auditory Brainstem Response
AAP	American Academy of Pediatricians
AD	Advisory Committee
ACT	Audiology Consulting Team
ASL	American Sign Language
CDC	Centers for Disease Control
D/HOH	Deaf or Hard of Hearing
DHW	Department of Health and Welfare
DM	Deta Manager
ECHO	Early Childhood Hearing Outreach
EHDI	Early Chichold Hearing Outreach Early Hearing Detection and Intervention
EHS	Early Head Stert
Eno Fi	Early reso oter
FACS	Family and Community Services
HIS	Health Information Specialist
HRSA	Health Resources and Services Administration
HSF8	Hearing Screening and Follow-Up Burvey
IDEA	Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
IESDB	Idaho Educational Services for the Deaf and Blind
IFSP	Individual Family Service Plan
IHA	Idaho Hospital Association
IHDE	Idaho Health Data Exchange
IPUL	Idaho Parents Unlimited
ITP	Infant Toddier Program
ITPKID5	Infant Toddier Program Key Information and Data System
JCIH	Joint Commission on Infant Hearing
LTD	Loss to Documentation
LSL	Listening and Spoken Language
LTF	Loss to Follow-up
MHS	Mgrant Hoad Start
MIECHV	Meternal Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting
MRN	Medical Record Number
NCHAM	National Center for Hearing Assessment and Management
NICHQ	National Initiative for Children's Healthcare Quality
NHS	Newborn Hearing Screening
OAE	Otoacoustic Emissions
PDSA	Plan, Do, Study, Act
POC	Parent Outreach Coordinator
Q(	Guality Improvement
SRF	Screening Results Form
SWOT	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats
TCP	The Care Project
TYMP	Tympanometry
UNHS	Universal Newborn Hearing Screening



SEP-14-2815 15:19 Front8143911

Pase 12/2

American Academy of Pediatrics

Idaho Chapter

Idahe Cleasor 209 Vect Inde Stood Selve, ID 60710-0127 Place, 200301-007 Place, 200301-007 Find: 300301-007

Posiders Sold Spin, ND, NAA<sup>5</sup> NOV Mack Officers II. Lake's Children's Heeplid Solt. D. Miller Post Spinster Post Spinster Post Spinster Data Teperate Spinster Spinster Spinster

Vier, Providenti Joan Palena, wat, Sodor Laberalle, Penthalen S. Achierosenti Michael 682 M Joannael Dr. Botto Juli Como Chiene, D. Josto Juli Provide Science Discontery Intelligi Palenti Discontery Intelligi Palenti Discontery Intelligi Palenti Discontery

Intractical Part Producer Tain Political Roop 2016 Hondi Roop 2016 Tannali no Rooma, 10:2016 Phone 2016 States Phone 201

Oheper: Energive Ginzer Shory: Nettars, RM 200 West Mak Storet Balar, D. Extrap-etc; Phone: 200203-0040 Per: 200203-0040 Per: 200203-0040 Encil: Nettarsaffatte ang

Chapter Metallor unselfactuation

AAP Heidquarters het Nottwaar Polai Brut Et Orse Wages E. Sonot-Hoat Paras Schleis-Hoat Fain Ingroße-Hoat Comit Handonell sepung weitlachung



September 14, 2016 To Whom It May Concern,

Long pleased to write this letter of support in regards to Idaho Sound Deginnings, Idaho's Early Housing Detautions and Enterworking (EHDI) data cohorsomeret proposal. The proposal will easible the early identification of childran with heating loss and essenset their families with flexibly-contered rapport argenizations and programs. This program continues to discover secondary and usuatticipated opportunities for efficiencies, joint planning, and improved opions for reflectual and follow-up strategies that can be built into the programs operation.

The program has demonstrated a long term and active commitment to newborn bearing screening promotion and implementation in blain. Ishino Sound Beginnings has consistently dedicated sheft to participate in this work, to support the work just by the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, and infratest with our partness through the Advisory Committee meetings.

Idnho Sound Beglanings continues to support strong collaboratives tabilionships that work toward the goal of providing the opportunity to all infinite born in Idaho to be screened and specific follow up intervention in a timely measure.

Success

Erik Mayers, MD Pediatrie Chair Elect Assistant Medical Director Neofatology St. Luku's Children's Hospital



and Hard of Hearing

State of Idaho Council for the Deaf

C.L. "BUTCH" OTTER Government STEVEN SNOW Execctive Director 1720 Westgate D.ive, Suite A-2 Beite, Johns 68704 Chephane (200) 334-0179 or FAX (208) 234-0552 (208) 473-0122 (VAP) overcodb.it.file.gov streen.gov/whild/in.gov

September 1, 2016

#### To Whom It May Concern:

I am pleased to write this letter of support in regards to Idaho Sound Beginnings, Idaho's Berly Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) data enhinement proposal. The proposal will enable the early identification of children with hearing loss and connect their families with family-centered support organizations and programs. The program continues to discover secondary and unarchichested opportunities for efficience, joint planning, and improved options for referred and follow-up strategies that east be built into the programs operation.

The program has demonstrated a long term and active comprises to nowbeen hearing screening preservison and implementation in Idaho. Idaho Sound Beginning's has consistently defidented staff to participate in this work, to support the wack led by the Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, and intensit with our partness through the Advisory Committee meetings.

Ideho Sound Begiunings continues to support strong collaborative relationships that work toward the goal of providing the opportunity to all infants been in Idaho to be accounted and receive follow up intervention in a timely minuter.

Sincerel Storren Smooth

Executive Director

### **Review Process – CDC**

New Competitive

- CDC Office of Grant Services conduct pre-review for completeness and responsiveness
- Objective review by panel of 3 or more HHS employees
  - 100% from outside the funding branch
  - Federal employees, not associated with the cognizant program office
- No conflict of interest
- Numeric score assigned by each reviewer
- Recommendations to approve, disapprove, defer application
- All applications ranked based on scores
- Approval based on ranking

#### **Review Process – HRSA**

New Competitive

- Pre-review for eligibility and completeness by HRSA
- Independent, objective review
- Panel of experts identified from the HRSA Reviewer Recruitment Module (RRM)
- No conflicts of interest
- 3 panel members review and rate each application independently:
  - Strengths and weaknesses for each criterion
  - Points assigned for each criterion
- Panel meets to discuss each members' comments and rewrites strengths and weaknesses
- All panel members score independently and scores are averaged

#### **Review Process**



State/Local/Foundation:

- Varies:
  - Program staff
  - Staff recruited from other DOH programs
  - Individuals recruited from advisory boards or related programs



- Before the RFP/FOA is published:
  - Pay attention to trends, influences, ideas
  - Keep a list of "next time" ideas
  - Periodically update strategic/long-term planning with stakeholders
  - Research local grant writing resources.
  - Critically review current evaluation results. Where does that lead you for the next grant cycle?
  - Run key evaluation measures monthly so you have recent data elements at your fingertips
  - Make your CDC & HRSA (and other) grants work together. Can one objective (or variant) cover both grants?
  - Read through narratives from your prior submissions and other states to get ideas and also identify good writing styles:

http://infanthearing.org/stategrants/index.php

#### Exercise



- **1.** Raise your right hand
- 2. Stand up
- **3.** Touch your nose with your left hand
- 4. Ignore #1 above
- 5. Complete #3 above, but use your right hand
- 6. Look around the room and decide who you will ask to help you write your next grant

#### It's not always easy to follow the directions:

#### From RFP:

- A logic model is a one-page diagram that presents the conceptual framework for a proposed project and explains the links among program elements.
- Attachment 1: Work Plan Attach the work plan for the project that includes all information detailed in Section IV. ii. Project Narrative. Also include the required logic model in this attachment.

Summary of Strengths & Weaknesses

- Weakness 2:
  - The application does not include a logic model.



- Read and re-read the guidance
- Follow the directions!
- Follow the directions for EACH section, attachment, etc
- Highlight areas of the FOA that you think might trip you up
- Do not exceed the page or file size limits
- Include ALL required documents (logic model, MOAs, indirect cost rate agreement, cover letter)
- If you don't have something:
  - acknowledge it is missing with a timeline for completing
  - submit a draft version (labelled as such)



 Start with an outline with required section headers. To be sure you address everything, copy/paste the requirements and scoring text from the RFA/FOA, then delete that after you've written the narrative:

#### EVALUATION AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT CAPACITY -

The program performance evaluation should monitor ongoing processes and the progress towards the goals and objectives of the project. Include descriptions of the inputs (e.g., organizational profile, collaborative partners, key staff, budget, and other resources), key processes, expected outcomes of the funded activities and plans to disseminate best practice models.

The degree to which the plans for dissemination of project results are feasible and effective (Impact, 5 points)

The extent to which project results may be national in scope (Impact, 5 points),

#### ORGANIZATIONAL INFORMATION-

Provide information on your organization's current mission and structure, scope of current activities, and an organizational chart (Attachment 5), and describe how



- Review the strengths/weakness of your prior application. Learn from your mistakes
- Copy and paste from your prior application **if appropriate** 
  - Only for content that scored well
  - Areas that aren't substantially different
- In general, allocate the total application pages based on scoring criteria (5% of pages address need, 20% address evaluation, etc.)
- Arrange for uninterrupted time to write your application
- Plan for more time than you think you need
- Engage a team to help
- Include your data analyst in the process



Write with the reviewer in mind:

- Include a list of ACRONYMS on first page of the Narrative or as first attachment
- Ensure your references to attachments are correct (titled identically and numbered correctly)
- User footers with section and page number (Budget Narrative, page 1 of 6)
- Proofread...and proofread again
- Don't assume that the reviewers know your program or have a strong background in the area. Recruit someone unfamiliar with your program to read your application. Does it make sense to someone whose never heard our jargon (refer, lost to follow-up)?
  - Give your reader volunteer the scoring criteria and ask them to score it. Consider a Reviewer Guide in your appendix

#### **REVIEWER'S GUIDE**

This guide will assist the reviewers in identifying primary pages in the application corresponding to specific review criteria as listed below.

R	eview Criteria	Pages, Attachments	
1 – NEED - (20 points)			
-	Description of problem, associated contributing factors	5- 10,11, 34	
-	Problem described with quantitative measures of LTF at each	8 - 10	
	EHDI stage		
2	– RESPONSE - (30 points)		
-	Project responsive to purpose	4, 6, 14	
-	Proposed goals and objectives	8-10,17-24,30-31,	
-	Quantitative measures, relationship to project	34-35	
-	Activities address the problem and capable of attaining the	8,18-19, 22, 34	
	objectives	11-14, 17, 38, (A4)	
-	Barriers identified	14-16	
-	Resolutions to challenges	34	
3 – EVALUATIVE MEASURES - (20 points)			
-	Method to monitor and evaluate results	6-7, 13	
-	Measure the meeting of program objectives	29-30	
-	Measure of extent attributed to project	32	
-	Quantitative and qualitative measures	35-36 <i>,</i> (A1)	
4 – IMPACT - (20 points)			
-	Plans to disseminate results	5, 29-30	
-	National scope of results	30	
-	Replication of project activities	31-32	
-	Sustainability of the program beyond federal funding	32	
5	5 – RESOURCES/CAPABILITIES - (5 points)		
-	Staff qualified by training, experience	34-35, (A2, A3)	
-	Applicant organization capability	36, (A4, A5, A6)	
-	Availability of facilities, personnel to meet needs,	40, (A5)	
	requirements of project		
-	Past performance	(A7)	
6	– SUPPORT REQUESTED - (5 points)	Compare project	
-	Reasonableness of proposed budget in relation to objectives,	narrative to budget	
	complexity of activities, and anticipated results	justification	



- Use appendices appropriately and wisely
  - If you have many letters of support that say the same thing, attach 1 exceptional one (detailed description of activities & deliverables, critical partner) then attach a 1 page document that list the agency/author of the other letters of support
  - A well crafted work plan that clearly & concisely documents activities and evaluation measures is worth thousands of words of narrative
- It's not over when you submit the grant. This is an unending process



- Notice of Grant Award (aka NoGA, NGA, NoA)
  - https://www.cdc.gov/grants/alreadyhavegrant/notice-of-award.html
  - Did you get amount of funding you requested?
  - Read and respond to your Terms and Conditions
    - HRSA:

#### **Terms and Conditions**

Failure to comply with the remarks, terms, conditions, or reporting requirements may result in a draw down restriction being placed on your Payment Management System account or denial of future funding.

Grant Specific Condition(s)

1. Due Date: Within 90 Days of Award Issue Date

The applicant is required to provide a logic model. The applicant is required to provide a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

• CDC:

Objective/Technical Review Statement Response Requirement: The review comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal are provided as part of this award. A response to the weaknesses in these statements must be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Grants Management Specialist/Grants Management Officer (GMS/GMO) noted in the CDC Staff Contacts section of this NoA, no later than 30 days from the budget period start date. Failure to submit the required information by the due date, July 31, 2017, will cause delay in programmatic progress and will adversely affect the future funding of this project.

- Read through (at least once) ALL the small print
- Be sure your contact information is correct

<u>This Photo</u> by Unknown Author is licensed under <u>CCBY</u>



ALWAYS REQUIRED for each year of the grant:

- Performance Report or Annual/Interim Progress Report
  - Report of progress on goals & objectives
  - Typically due a few months before the end of the current project year
  - May be included with non-competitive renewal
- Federal Financial Report (FFR)
  - May be done by your fiscal office
  - Due within 90 days after the END of the funding year
- Performance Measures (HRSA)
  - Global HRSA measures, selected for your grant, but not grant-specific: i.e.:
    - The percent of programs promoting and facilitating state capacity for advancing the health of MCH populations.
    - New system (DIGS) and measures coming this year (FY18 grants)



#### Multi-Year Grant:

- Non-Competing Continuation
  - Purpose is to provide new activities/workplan for next year of grant. Overall goal and objectives should be the same
  - Your original competitive application should have included Year 2 (& 3, etc.) activities. This is your opportunity to modify those
  - Typically shorter than competitive application
  - CDC requires budget. HRSA doesn't ask for new budget funds allocated based on requested amounts for additional years in original application



Platforms for Post-Award Activities:

You need to request user accounts to these systems to manage your grants HRSA:

Electronic Handbooks (EHBs)

CDC:

**Grant Solutions** 

General Resources (How to):

HRSA Grant Manual:

https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/grants/manage/awardmanagement/aw ardmanage.pdf

CDC Grantee Information

https://www.cdc.gov/grants/alreadyhavegrant/Other.html



#### Prior approvals submissions required for:

- Key Personnel changed (Principal Investigator/Project Director)
- Budget modification
  - Re-budgeting of up to 25%\* of total funds does not require approval
  - \*verify this amount in the small print of you NoGA
- Carry over funds unobligated funds from a prior year

For CDC, templates are available on the general grantee website



### Wrap Up

#### Pending questions?

- What will you do differently in your next new competitive application?
- What would be helpful to include in subsequent workshops on this topic?



#### **Become a DSHPSHWA Member**

#### Join on-line: www.DSHPSHWA.org





- Special thanks to Jeff Hoffman, MS, CCC-A for allowing DSPHSHWA sharing seamlessly, and allowing us to steal shamelessly.
- Co-authors: Kathy Aveni, Kirsten Coverstone, Marcia Fort, Linda Hazard, Stacy Jordan, Cathy Lester, Karin Neidt, and Brian Shakespeare